

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/809,071	03/25/2004	Chi Hung Law	ø	HKPC/385/US	6991
2543 7	590 09/28/2005			EXAMINER	
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP				HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
750 MAIN STI SUITE 1400	REET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARTFORD, CT 06103				3727	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,071	LAW, CHI HUNG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robin A. Hylton	3727
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the pro	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William Consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	_	
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: a		by the Eveniner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		- ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		·
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
	animor. Note the attached office	7.00.011 01 101111 1 0 102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	a.
		•
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/04	6) Other:	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	tion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20050926

Application/Control Number: 10/809,071

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the lid edge portion being spaced from the body wall outer surface must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). only a portion of the lidfas No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should include at leat one tehcinca, or inventive, feature of the climaed insant invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,071 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The structure of the lid is not clearly set forth in the claims.

- How does a downwardly turned circumferential edge portion of a lid have a cross-section complementary in shape with an outwardly turned circumferential edge portion of a receptacle?
- How does the lid edge portion turn upwardly and then downwardly along its periphery and form a gap with the body wall (claim 1)?
- The drawings indicate the annular rib 222R is the downwardly turned portion of the lid edge. How does hit engage the inner surface of the body wall?
- Where is the downward turned portion in claim 7?

The claims should stand alone and be clear without relying on the specification of the drawings for understanding of the claimed invention. Thus, it is suggested the lid panel **10** be used as a reference point for the circumferential portion of the lid in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitchell et al. (US 3,688,942).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,071

Art Unit: 3727

See figure 3 depicting the flap prior to being folded upwardly and inwardly (63) to engage an outer surface of the body wall at 23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitchell.

Mitchell teaches the claimed invention except for a rough surface texture and a paper pulp lid and body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the body of Mitchell with a rough surface texture and to form the lid and body of paper pulp, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Art Unit: 3727

10. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The U	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH September 26, 2005

> Robin A. Hiviton Primary Examiner